

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,163	IOURCHA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

All Participants:

(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(2) Susan Yee.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Stan Pawlik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Clarification of the meaning of a "piecewise analytical function" was requested, specifically in regards to the meaning of the word analytical, but clarification was unable to be reached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)